

UNITED STATES DEPAR Patent and Trademark Office T OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

01/19/95

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 027500-3\$6 07/870,337 04/17/92 PERSSON VO, dx aminer 26M2/0119 RONALD L. GRUDZIECKI BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS THE GEORGE MASON BLDG. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON & PRINCE STS., P.O.BOX 1404 2611

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application		
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ADVISORY ACTION		
	extended to run or continues to run <u>03 mos</u> from the date of the fina	
7		
b) 📋 ex ev	cpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, rent however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the	whichever is later. In no a final rejection.
pu pu	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response an urposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extensio 17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as se	d also the date for the
☐ Appell	lant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applica	ant's response to the final rejection, filed $1-9-95$ has been considered with the following ϵ	offices, but it is not decount
\ to plac	the application in condition for allowance:	
_/\	e proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection sta	
/ a,	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessar presented.	y and was not earlier
b,	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c.	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d.	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or si appeal.	implifying the issues for
€.	☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim.	s.
	DTE: See claim \$ 2, lines 6-8; claim 7, line	
2. Ne	ewly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately fi e non-allowable claims.	led amendment cancelling
3. U	pon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 📈 will not be entered and the	status of the claims will
/\ be	as follows:	
	aims allowed:	
	aims objected to:	
O.	However;	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection	tion because
5. Th	ne affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reason resented.	s why it was not earlier
☐ The pro	oposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	
Other	16.00 - 10	Edward
	NGUYEN 10	EDWARD F. URBAN
	(703) 308-6728	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2600
PTOL-303 (R	EV. 5-89) '	